# EPA ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE CONTROL NUMBER FORM FOR ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

This form was originated by Wanda I. Santiago for	Tonia Bandrowicz Name of Case Attorney	12/10/19 Date
in the ORC (RAA) at 918-1113 Office & Mail Code Phone number	·	
Case Docket Number	DI4	•
Site-specific Superfund (SF) Acct. Number		
This is an original debt	This is a modification	
Name and address of Person and/or Company/Muni	cipality making the payment:	
Joseph Federico		
Fed. Corp.		
1039 East Street	· 	
Dedham MA	· ·	
Total Dollar Amount of Receivable \$ 3,000	Due Date: 1/8/20	
SEP due? Yes No	Date Due	
Installment Method (if applicable)		
INSTALLMENTS OF:		
1 <sup>ST</sup> \$	on	
2 <sup>nd</sup> \$	on	
3 <sup>rd</sup> \$	on	
4 <sup>th</sup> \$	 on	
. 5™ \$	on	
For RHC Tracking Purposes:		
Copy of Check Received by RHC	Notice Sent to Finance	_
TO BE FILLED OUT BY LOCAL FINANCIAL		
IFMS Accounts Receivable Control Number		_
If you have any questions call: in the Financial Management Office	•	·



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Region 1

5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 Boston, MA 02109-3912

BY HAND

RECEIVED

EPA ORG IN

Office of Regional Usaring Clerk

DEC 1 8 2019

Wanda Santiago Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region 1 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 Boston, MA 02109-3912

Re: Fed. Corp., Docket No. CWA-R01-2020-0014

Dear Ms. Santiago,

Enclosed for filing, please find an Expedited Settlement Agreements (ESA) settling the matter referenced above.

According to the ESA, the Respondent has sent a penalty payment check to EPA (Fines and Penalties) in St. Louis, MO, with copies to Diane Boudrot and you in this office.

Pursuant to EPA Order Classification No. 2551.1A, dated June 7, 2006, the Regional Hearing Clerk (RHC) shall send a copy of the ESA in any Clean Water Act (CWA) case assessing a penalty under CWA 311 to:

U.S. EPA Cincinnati Finance Center 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive (MS-002) Cincinnati, OH 45268

In addition, the RHC must pass along the name and address of the regional attorney responsible for any collection recommendation if the civil debt becomes delinquent. For this case, the responsible attorney is:

Tonia Bandrowicz, Senior Enforcement Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region 1 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 Boston, MA 02109-3912

Tel: (617) 918-1734

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Senior Enforcement Counsel

Enclosure

Sincerely.

cc: Joseph Federico, Jr., President



# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Region 1 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 BOSTON, MA 02109-3912

By Hand

DEC 0 9 2019

RECEIVED

کرز EPA ORC Office of Regional Hearing Clerk

LeAnn Jensen, Regional Judicial Officer U.S. EPA, Region I 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 Boston, MA 02109-3912

Re: Fed. Corp., Docket No. CWA-R01-2020-0014

Dear Ms. Jensen,

In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b), enclosed please find a Clean Water Act ("CWA") Section 311 Expedited Settlement Agreement (ESA) that has been signed by the parties and is now being submitted to you for approval. Please note that consistent with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and 22.18(b) this ESA both commences and concludes the matters referenced above.

The proposed penalty of \$3,000 in the ESA is consistent with EPA's Civil Penalty Policy for Violations of Section 311 of the CWA.

Because this is a Class I CWA action, no public notice is required. Once the Final Order has been signed, the parties will file the fully executed ESA with the Regional Hearing Clerk thereby resolving this matter.

If you have any questions related to this matter, please call me at 617-918-1734.

Respectfully submitted,

A Tonia Bandrowicz U.S. EPA, Region 1

Enclosures (ESAs)

cc: Wanda Santiago, Regional Hearing Clerk

Joseph Federico, Jr., President



#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION 1 5 POST OFFICE SQUARE, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02109-3912 EXPEDITED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

#### In the Matter of Fed. Corp., Dedham, Massachusetts Docket No. CWA-01-FY20-0014

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") has determined that the Fed. Corp. ("Respondent") facility located at 1039 East Street, Dedham, Massachusetts was in noncompliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (the "Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1321(j), by failing to have a Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure ("SPCC") plan as noted on the attached SPCC Inspection Findings and Violation Form ("Violation Form") from at least the date of an EPA October 9, 2018 inspection, until Respondent submitted a SPCC plan on August 28, 2019. The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement Agreement to settle EPA's claims for such violation for a total penalty of \$3,000. The parties are authorized to enter into this Expedited Settlement Agreement under the authority of Section 311(b) (6) (B) (i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b) (6) (B) (i), and in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.13(b) and 22.18.

This settlement is subject to the following terms:

EPA finds that the Respondent is subject to the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations and has violated the regulations by failing to have a SPCC plan. Respondent admits it is subject to the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations and neither admits nor denies that it is in violation of such regulations. Respondent waives any objections it may have to EPA's jurisdiction and consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above.

Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that it has corrected the violation identified in the Violation Form and has sent a bank, cashiers, or certified check in the amount of \$3,000, payable to the Treasury, United States to: Environmental Protection Agency, Fines and Penalties, P.O. Box 979077, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000. This check shall reference the case name and docket number ("In the Matter of Fed. Corp. Docket No. CWA-01-2020-0014") and "Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund – 311."

Respondent shall send a copy of the check to Diane Boudrot, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1, Five Post Office Square (Mail Code 04-4), Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912, and to Wanda Santiago, the Regional Hearing Clerk, at the same address.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement Agreement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement Agreement without further notice.

Once the Expedited Settlement Agreement is signed by the Regional Judicial Officer, the original and one copy of the document will be filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk and a copy will be mailed to: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati Finance Office, 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive (MS-WG32B), Cincinnati, OH 45268. A copy of the Expedited Settlement Agreement will also be mailed to the Respondent.

This Expedited Settlement Agreement is binding on the parties signing below and is effective upon filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.31(b).

If Respondent does not sign and return this Expedited Settlement Agreement within 30 days of the date of its receipt, or submit the penalty payment check, the proposed Expedited Settlement offer is withdrawn without prejudice to EPA's ability to file any other enforcement action for the violations herein.

After this Expedited Settlement Agreement becomes effective, EPA will take no further civil penalty action against Respondent for the violations of the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations described herein through the effective date of this Expedited Settlement Agreement. EPA does not waive any rights to take enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations.

APPROVED BY EPA:

| Date: 11-19-19

Karen McGuire, Director

Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Division

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:

Name (print): Joseph Federico In

Title (print): President

Signature On Selection Date: 11-22-1

IT IS SOMORDERED:

LeAnn Jensen

Regional Judicial Officer

RECEIVED

EPA ORC US Office of Regional Hearing Clark

## Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings and Violations Form

	11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-		
Cor	mpany Name:	Docket Number:	
Fee	d. Corp.	CWA-01-2020-0014	UNITED STARTS
Fac	ility Name:	Date of Inspection:	* -
		October 9, 2018	
Add	dress:	<u>, (6,000)</u>	TO THE STATE OF TH
103	39 East Street		WIRL PROTECTO
City	y:	Inspector's Name(s):	
Dec	lham	Joseph Canzano	
Stat	e: Zip Code:		
M.	A 01720		20.
Fac: Con	ility itact:	Enforcement Contact:	
Joseph Federico, Jr., President Fed. Corp.  Joseph Canzano, Spill Prevention Compliance Coordinator Tel: 617-918-1763			
Summary of Findings  The following summarizes alleged violations based on EPA's October 9, 2018 inspection.  (Bulk Storage Facilities)  GENERAL TOPICS: 40 CFR §112.3(a), (d), (e); §112.5(a), (b), (c); §112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d)			
	No Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan not certified by a professional engineer - 11		
$\overline{\Box}$	Certification lacks one or more required element	· · ·	
	Plan not maintained on site (if manned at least fo		v - 112.3(e)(1)
	No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a ch or maintenance which affects the facility's discha-		
	No evidence of five-year review of plan by owner	er/operator - 112.5(b)	
	Amendment(s) not certified by a professional eng	gineer - 112.5(c)	

	No management approval of plan - 112.7
	Plan does not follow sequence of the rule and/or cross-reference not provided - 112.7
	Plan does not discuss additional procedures/methods/equipment not yet fully operational - 112.7
	Plan does not discuss conformance with SPCC requirement - 112.7(a)(1)
	Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements - 112.7(a)(2)
	Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram, - 112.7(a)(3)
	Inadequate or no listing of type of oil and storage capacity of containers - 112.7(a)(3)(i)
	Inadequate or no discharge prevention measures - 112.7(a)(3)(ii)
	Inadequate or no description of drainage controls - 112.7(a)(3)(iii)
	Inadequate or no description of countermeasures for discharge discovery, response and cleanup - $112.7(a)(3)(iv)$
	Methods of disposal of recovered materials not in accordance with legal requirements - 112.7(a)(3)(v)
	No contact list & phone numbers for response & reporting discharges - 112.7(a)(3)(vi)
	Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge - 2.7(a)(4)
	Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur - 112.7(a)(5)
	Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges - 112.7(b)
	Plan does not discuss and facility does not implement appropriate containment/diversionary structures/equipment - 112.7(c)
	Inadequate containment or drainage for Loading Area - 112.7(c)
	Plan has no or inadequate discussion of any applicable more stringent State regulations, and guidelines -112.7(j)
	Plan does not include a signed copy of the Certification of the Applicability of the Substantial Harm Criteria per 40 CFR Part 112.20(e).
	- If claiming impracticability of appropriate containment/diversionary structures:
	Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated in plan - 112.7(d)
	No periodic integrity and leak testing - 112.7(d)
	No contingency plan - $112.7(d)(1)$
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials - 112.7(d)(2)
["]	Plan has no or inadequate discussion of general requirements not already specified - 112.7(j)

# QUALIFIED FACILITY REQUIREMENTS: §112.6 Qualified Facility: No Self certification - 112.6(a)Qualified Facility: Self certification lacks required elements - 112.6(a) or (b) Qualified Facility: Technical amendments not certified - 112.6(a) or (b) Qualified Facility: Qualified Facility Plan includes alternative measures not certified by licensed Professional Engineer - 112.6(b) Facility: Environmental Equivalence or Impracticability not certified by licensed Professional Engineer - 112.6(b)(4) WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS: §112.7(e) Plan does not include inspections and test procedures in accordance with 40 CFR Part 112 - 112.7(e) Inspections and tests required are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility. - 112.7(e) No Inspection records were available for review - 112.7(e) Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e) Are not maintained for three years - 112.7(e) PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES: §112.7(f) No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges and for facility operations - 112.7(f)(1) No training on discharge procedure protocols - 112.7(f)(1)No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules, and regulations and/or SPCC plan - 112.7(f)(1) No designated person accountable for spill prevention - 112.7(f)(2)Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted at least once a year - 112.7(f)(3) Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel training and spill prevention procedures - 112.7(a)(1) SECURITY (excluding Production Facilities): §112.7(g) Plan does not describe how the facility secures and controls access to the oil handling, processing and storage areas - 112.7(g)Master flow and drain valves not secured - 112.7(g) Starter controls on oil pumps not secured to prevent unauthorized access - 112.7(g) Out-of-service and loading/unloading connections of oil pipelines not adequately secured - 112.7(g)

	Plan does not address the appropriateness of security lighting to both prevent acts of vandalism and assist in the discovery of oil discharges - 112.7(g)
	FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING RACK: §112.7(h)
	Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin, treatment system, or quick drainage system - 112.7(h)(1)
	Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck - 112.7(h)(1)
	There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake interlock system to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines - $112.7(h)(2)$
	There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck - $112.7(h)(3)$
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack-112.7(a)(1)
	QUALIFIED OIL OPERATIONAL EQUIPMENT: §112.7(k)
	Failure to establish and document procedures for inspections or a monitoring program to detect equipment failure and/or a discharge - $112.7(k)(2)(i)$
	Failure to provide an oil spill contingency plan - $112.7(k)(2)(ii)(A)$
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials - 112.7(k)(2)(ii)(B)
	FACILITY DRAINAGE: §112.8(b) & (c) and/or §112.12(b) & (c)
	Two "lift" pumps are not provided for more than one treatment unit - 112.8(b)(5)
	Secondary Containment circumvented due to containment bypass valves left open and/or pumps and ejectors not manually activated to prevent a discharge - 112.8(b)(1)&(2) and 112.8(c)3)(i)
	Dike water is not inspected prior to discharge and/or valves not open & resealed under responsible supervision - 112.8(c)(3)(ii) & (iii)
	Adequate records (or NPDES permit records) of drainage from diked areas not maintained - 112.8(c)(3)(iv)
	Drainage from un-diked areas do not flow into catchment basins ponds, or lagoons, or no diversion systems to retain or return a discharge to the facility $-112.8(b)(3)&(4)$
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility drainage - 112.7(a)(1)
	BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS: § 112.7(i), §112.8(c) and/or §112.12(c)
<b>[</b> ]	Failure to conduct evaluation of field-constructed aboveground containers for risk of discharge or

	failure due to brittle fracture or other catastrophe - 112.7(i)
	Material and construction of containers not compatible with the oil stored and the conditions of storage such as pressure and temperature – $112.8(c)(1)$
	Secondary containment capacity is inadequate - 112.8(c)(2)
	Secondary containment systems are not sufficiently impervious to contain oil - 112.8(c)(2)
	Completely buried metallic tanks are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing - $112.8(c)(4)$
	Buried sections of partially buried metallic tanks are not protected from corrosion - 112.8(c)(5)
	Above ground containers are not subject to periodic integrity testing techniques such as visual inspections, hydrostatic testing, or other nondestructive testing methods - $112.8(c)(6)$
	Above ground tanks are not subject to visual inspections - 112.8(c)(6)
	Records of inspections (or customary business records) do not include inspections of container supports/foundation, signs of container deterioration, discharges and/or accumulations of oil inside diked areas - $112.8(c)(6)$
	Steam return /exhaust of internal heating coils that discharge into an open water course are not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system - 112.8(c)(7)
	Container installations are not engineered or updated in accordance with good engineering practice because <u>none</u> of the following are present - $112.8(c)(8)$
	- high liquid level alarm with audible or visual signal, or audible air vent - 112.8(c)(8)(i)
	- high liquid level pump cutoff devices set to stop flow at a predetermined level - 112.8(c)(8)(ii)
	- direct audible or code signal communication between container gauger and pumping station - 112.8(c)(8)(iii)
	- fast response system for determining liquid level of each bulk storage container, or direct vision gauges with a person present to monitor gauges and the overall filling of bulk storage containers - 112.8(c)(8)(iv)
	No testing of liquid level sensing devices to ensure proper operation - $112.8(c)(8)(v)$
	Effluent treatment facilities not observed frequently to detect possible system upsets that could cause a discharge as described in §112.1(b) - 112.8(c)(9)
	Causes of leaks resulting in accumulations of oil in diked areas are not promptly corrected - 112.8(c)(10)
	Mobile or portable storage containers are not positioned or located to prevent discharged oil from reaching navigable water, or have inadequate secondary containment - 112.8(c)(11)
	Secondary containment inadequate for mobile or portable storage tanks - 112.8(c)(11)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks - 112.7(a)(1)
F	ACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS: §112.8(d) and §112.12(d)
	Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection = 112.8(d)(1)

Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found - 112.8(d)(1)
Not-in-service or standby piping is not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin - 112.8(d)(2)
Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction - $112.8(d)(3)$
Above ground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly- 112.8(d)(4)
Periodic integrity and leak testing of buried piping is not conducted at time of installation, modification, construction, relocation, or replacement - $I12.8(d)(4)$
Vehicle traffic is not warned of aboveground piping or other oil transfer operations - 112.8(d)(5)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility transfer operations, pumping, and facility process - 112.7(a)(1)

US EPA

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing letter and Expedited Settlement Agreement was sent to the following persons in the manner and on the date specified below.

Original and one copy, hand-delivered:

Wanda Santiago

Regional Hearing Clerk

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region 1

5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 Boston, MA 02109-3912

Copy, by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested:

Joseph Federico, Jr., President

Fed. Corp. 1039 East Street Dedham, MA 02026

Dated: [2/]

Tonia Bandrowicz

Senior Enforcement Counsel U.S. EPA, Region I (04-03) 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100

Boston, MA 02114-2023